UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/597,958 | 08/14/2006 | Mirko Danz | DANZ-4 | 8432 |
| | 7590 09/20/201 IEREISEN, LLC | EXAMINER | | |
| HENRY M FEIEREISEN | | | DALENCOURT, YVES | |
| 708 THIRD AVENUE SUITE 1501 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| NEW YORK, NY 10017 | | | 2457 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/20/2010 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

INFO@FEIEREISENLLC.COM

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/597,958 | DANZ ET AL. |
| Examiner-initiated interview duminary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | YVES DALENCOURT | 2457 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | _ |
| (1) <u>YVES DALENCOURT</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Henry M. Feiereisen</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 8 September 2010 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | nt's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Part II. | | DICCUCCED. |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Applicant's representative (MR. Henry Feiereisen) confirmed the a on 09/08/2010. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate red | examiner will provide a writte | en summary of the substance |
| did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | | |
| | | |
| (A _J | pplicant/Applicant's Representati | ve Signature – if appropriate) |